

taught by the applicant. The examiner's response is also aggressively argumentative. The examiner has apparently discounted the fact that the invention is drawn specifically and explicitly to an electric citrus press. The examiner is not free to disregard the preamble. In common, english "a rotating reamer" is not at all the same as "rotative positioning". The examiner's playing elaborate word games and failing to abide by the common meaning of words in the English language and the way they are recited in a very simple claim. The examiner has done nothing to make a *prima facia* case other than find isolated features in different references and stretch the English language beyond recognition. I consider the office handling of this matter an absolute disgrace.

Please charge any deficiency in the fees due to our Deposit Account No. 503458 in the name of Molins & Co.

Regards,



Michael Molins

Reg. No. 31785

Customer No. 33372

MM/mb